- 1 Q. Mr. Price, do you have a college degree?
- 2 A. Yes, I do
- Q. And from what institution and in what subject?
 - A. Princeton University Woodrow Wilson School of International Affairs
- 7 Q. What year was that?
- 8 A. 1962.

- Q. Do you have any postgraduate education?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And in what subject?
- 12 A Law
- Q. You have a law degree sir?
- 14 A. Yes I do
- 15 O. From what institution?
- 16 A. Yale Law School
- Q. What year did you receive that?
- 18 A. 1965.
- Q. Upon graduation from Yale Law School, did
 you go to work as an att rney?
- 21 A. Upon graduation I went into the Air Force.
- Q. Were you in the TAG Corps or some other

1 A. What page number is that?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. Mr. Price, if you would just take a look at--flip through Exhibit 7. It's kind of long and I'm not going to quiz you about it. I'm not going to quiz you about it. I'm not going to quiz you about every page. I'm just going to ask you about the document generally.

(Counsel confers with the witness.)

- A. I'm just going to flip through quickly in the interest of time, yes.
- Q. Do you recall having seen a document of this particular format, if not necessarily this exact document before?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recognize this as something that was regularly prepared for Liberty or by Liberty's people?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. I noticed that in the top left corner there are dates. For example, on the first page of the exhibit there is the date April 4, 1996, and there are other dates back in the document.
- A. Yes, I see them.

- Q. Were these prepared weekly, to your knowledge?
 - A. As I recall, they were prepared weekly.
 - Q. And did you receive a copy of them every week?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Now, was there a meeting, a regular weekly meeting, that you had with your staff?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

7

8

17

18

19

20

- 10 Q. And this would have been held during the 11 time period we have been talking about, '93, '94, '95?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. And was this operations report for that
 week, was that a subject of discussion at the staff
 meeting?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. In addition to the staff--that is, the people who reported to you--were there other people of senior management who attended these staff meetings regularly?
- 22 A. Yes.



- Q. Which of the Milstein brothers attended?
 Or did both?
 - A. Both.

- Q. Approximately how long did each of these staff meetings run?
- A. Generally an hour, sometimes up to two hours, but generally an hour.
 - Q. Now, can you tell me the use that was made of these technical operations reports at the staff meeting.
 - with the installation procedure and to coordinate any licensing that was required in order to move from contract to installation. As well as, during installation, questions that would arise concerning marketing and installation as to what was expected or what a particular customer was promised and what they received. Did they order one box? Two boxes? Was the installation prepared according to spec?
 - Q. Now, when the company used the term
 "installation" in this progress report, do you know

A. Yes. The "I" Block was referred to in install blocks, so we made a general record of the buildings where we were operating so we could identify the new building was also in the same block where we already had a receive site; i.e. an installed location.

- Q. Now, in this particular list here, is it correct that the buildings or facilities--"yacht club," if you want to call it a building--on the right column, are those buildings that are fed by cable from the corresponding location in the left column?
- A. I believe they are. It looks like the list of those that are fed by--whether that's the comprehensive list at this moment, I don't know, but as of this date it purports to be a list of what was served at that time in that fashion.
- Q. And then underneath that, the content has been redacted, but there is the heading private building complexes which are interconnected. I take it that referred to something different?
- A. Yes. I presume it does.

- Q. Did you review this document in draft before it was filed, sir?
 - A. I believe I did.
 - Q. Was there a draft of this document sent up to you in your office in New York either by overnight or facsimile?
- 7 A. I believe it was.

5

8

9

13

18

19

20

21

- Q. And I note that your declaration appears to be a reproduction of a facsimile?
- 10 A. That could very well be.
- Q. Can you recall whether or not you faxed your signature back on the 25th of April?
 - A. If it says that, I presume I did.
- Q. Now, on April 5th, 1995, did you have any knowledge that Liberty was operating microwave paths without FCC licenses?
- 17 A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Do you remember whether or not the information that you previously testified to, the suggestion that you previously testified, there had come to you a suggestion that Liberty was operating an unlicensed microwave path, do you know if that

came before or after April 5th, 1995, the date you executed this declaration that you are looking at here?

A. I don't recall the precise date.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And you can't say whether it was before or after the date that you signed this declaration?
- A. I would have to read this again in detail and look at whatever else was going on at that time, but I just can't pick it out cold.

I could tell you this: I did not know we were operating unlicensed paths. And if I did, I would have done something about it immediately. So if you're asking me, is there something I said here that was a denial that we were doing something wrong and I knew we were doing something wrong, that's not correct, and I don't like the inference.

- Q. I'm not asking you that, sir.
- A. If that's what you're asking, that's the answer. If you're not asking that, I apologize.
- Q. I don't think this document says anything
 about--
- 22 A. I didn't read the document. I want to

1 | make sure because I haven't read it.

(Price Exhibit No. 6 was

marked for identification.)

- Q. Mr. Price, you have been handed what's been marked for your deposition as Exhibit 6. And for the record it is a copy of opposition to Petition to Deny or Condition Grant in FCC file number 712203 and 711937.
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

1.8

19

20

- Q. I will represent to you just in the interest of speeding up time that I think this document appears to be substantially identical to the previous one you looked at, with the change of a few addresses.
 - A. I will accept that.
- Q. And again, there is what appears to be a copy of a facsimile declaration of Peter O. Price on the back of the document which I would like you to just look at and confirm as your signature.
 - A. That is my signature.
 - Q. And it's dated 5/1/95?
- 22 A. That's correct.

Q.	And agair	ı, just	for	the	record,	I take	it
that before you executed the declaration, you							
reviewed	this docu	ıment ir	n dra	aft f	form?		

A. Yes.

- Q. And finally, I want to ask you whether or not you recall knowing on May 1st, 1995, whether or not Liberty was operating any unlicensed microwave paths.
- A. I don't know without going back into the record exactly when these meetings took place when we made those discoveries.
- Q. I don't have anymore questions about this document, unless looking at it refreshes your recollection in any way.
 - A. No.

(Price Exhibit No. 7 was marked for identification.)

Q. You have been handed what's been marked as Exhibit 7 to your deposition. It is the surreply of Liberty Cable Company in file numbers 712218 and 712219. Take your time to look at it, and I'm going to ask you a few more questions about it than

1 A. Yes.

- Q. I think we established through your testimony that you became aware of the fact that Liberty was providing unauthorized service as a result of the May 5th filing by Time Warner with the FCC.
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that correct?
- A. That's what I believe. I presume from that that was a trigger, yes. I didn't say that was the trigger. It was a trigger.
 - Q. The question is, to your personal knowledge, did you, yourself--meaning Peter Price, not necessarily Liberty Cable as an entity--have any knowledge prior to hearing or learning of the allegations in Time Warner's pleading?
 - A. No, I did not. Absolutely, no, I did not.
 - Q. Are you aware of whether or not, apart from whatever this affidavit says, whether or not Mr. Milstein, Mr. Howard Milstein, had any such knowledge prior to the filing of Time Warner's pleading at the FCC which you said was the

A. I got to tell you. They went to everybody and said we were illegal in every respect every day of the week. Those kind of frivolous filings by Time Warner caused us to have a pretty thick skin about them calling wolf about Liberty.

When they called something and we looked into it and it turned out we had a problem, that's different. We took that very seriously.

- Q. That's the instance I'm talking about now. And when Time Warner would file Petitions to Deny against your microwave applications, and I believe at the time you said it may be sometime in the first quarter or April of '95 where you learned that paths were being operated without prior authorization, at that point did you consider Time Warner's petitions serious?
 - A. You bet.

- Q. And at that point would they have been discussed in your weekly meetings?
- A. They would have been discussed at a point where we figured out the foundation for them. We wouldn't get--if we were made aware of a petition

on a Tuesday and the management meeting was on a Thursday, we wouldn't circulate a Time Warner petition among a group of operating people until we asked our lawyers what it meant, until we investigated the foundation for it, analyzed the operating implications and go back to our people and say we have a problem.

Would we circulate among management another petition from Time Warner until we looked into it? No. It wouldn't make any sense. We would just frighten a lot of people with another assault from Time Warner that may or may not have a foundation.

- Q. How long did this process take of investigating to see if it had any merit?
- A. Depends upon the particular petition. If you are talking about the initial one from Time Warner that talked about the two unauthorized locations, maybe it was a couple of weeks. I don't know. I'm speculating, but we didn't turn around and circulate it at the management meeting and say Time Warner has a problem, and if they have a

EXHIBIT 2

- - - - - - - - - - x

Liberty Cable Co., Inc.

: ------x

CONFIDENTIAL

Wednesday, May 29, 1996
Washington, D.C.

The deposition of BEHROOZ NOURAIN, called for examination by counsel for Federal Communications Commission in the above-entitled matter, pursuant to notice, in the offices of Fleischman and Walsh, 1400 16th Street, N.W., Sixth Floor, Washington, D.C., convened at 9:47 a.m., before David A. Kasdan, RPR, a notary public in and for the District of Columbia, when were present on behalf of the parties:

1 not it would be advisable for you to obtain
2 separate counsel?

- A. There was a discussion, they gave me the choice, and I said that I chose them, and that's where we are.
- Q. Could describe for us your educational background.
- A. I finished high school at my home country in Iran, Tehran.

September 1970 I entered Michigan Tech
University, and I completed my Bachelor of Science
degree in electrical engineering 1974, and
continued in same university and obtained my
Master's degree on September '75.

So I have a Bachelor of Science and Master of Science in electrical engineering from Michigan Technological University.

- Q. Upon graduating with your Master's, did you then begin to work as an electrical engineer?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And where did you work at that time?
- A. I moved back to my home country, Tehran,

- and I was working for National Iranian Oil Company 1 in Tehran, Iran.
 - How long did you work there?
- Started in '76, and I was there until Α. 1983. 5
 - And where did you go at that point?
 - I moved back to the United States, and November 1983 started work for Western Union Telegraph Company.
 - What did you do for Western Union?
- I was systems engineer. Α. 11

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

- What kind of systems did you work on at 12 Q. Western Union? 13
- Microwave systems and involved in some Α. 14 fiber optics. 15
 - When did you leave Western Union?
 - Last day was on December 1985, that month. Α.
- Where did you go at that point? 18 0.
- I started with a company named Local Area 19 Α. Telecom the following January in New York City. 20
- You started this company? Did you say you started this company? 22

- I started with this company. 1 Α.
- What kind of work did you do there? 2 Q.
- 3 I was engineer manager. Α.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- What kind of engineering work did you do? 4 Q.
- Short-haul microwave systems. 5 Α.
- When you said "manager," what did you mean 7 by "manager"?
 - It was merely a title because it was Vice Α. President of Engineering, which was in charge of engineering. And I was the first actual electrical engineering they hired, and I was doing a lot of engineering work as well as managing my work and performing managerial work such as ordering materials and talking to the vendors. Basically project manager. It was mostly a project manager.

Then a day-to-day manager of the department, the vice president of the engineer department.

- Did you have engineers underneath you? Q.
- At the time when I started, no.
- 21 Eventually you had a staff of engineers 22 working under you?

A. Eventually, what I recall, one engineer was hired possibly six or seven months after I was there because the workload, and then within few months after that we did complete restructuring.

And then I was promoted to Director of Engineering.

And we hired one more, and I don't know exactly when, but by the time I left the company, the company had about four engineers working for me, and I was Senior Director of Engineering, and the person I was referring to, I replaced him so I was actually head of the engineering.

So the progression's really for five years. It was slow. It was a small company.

- Q. Were the type of facilities that you worked on here facilities that required FCC authorizations?
- 17 A. Yes.

- Q. Did you have any responsibilities in applying for the FCC authorizations?
- A. As part of getting the FCC authorization, the only responsibility I had was to engineers assistant and get the coordination to the search

We had a general counsel in the company which would do with the help of -- I believe they had 2 some people in Washington who were probably working on applications. They were doing strictly the legal part of that work. My job was to--or my 5 people, my staff--do the design of the system, get 6 the coordination, all the technical part and sent to search firm, whether it was Comsearch or others. 8 And at that point the counsel, in-house counsel, 10 will take over and take care of any related FCC work. 11

Q. Where did you go after leaving this company?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. Left, I believe, 1990, the end of 1990, and then I got a consulting position with a company called Micronet in, I believe, Jamison, Pennsylvania, or some areas like that.
 - Q. And what did you do for Micronet?
 - A. I was working on their--I was a consultant to work on some engineering projects that they had which was mainly upgrade of their existing system, microwave systems.

And that was part of the job they hired me for, and I was doing some other engineering proposals since I was hired as an engineer there to help out the company. They didn't have a complete engineering staff, that some guy was head of the engineering and I was doing consulting.

- Q. Were the microwave systems operated by Micronet also microwave systems requiring FCC authorizations?
- 10 A. Those systems were already built. It was
 11 just operate the equipment. And if it was needed
 12 to be licensed for it, they were all procured by
 13 that, and I wasn't even involved in that part of
 14 it.
 - Q. How long were you with Micronet?
- A. I was there until August of same year,
- 17 1981. I was there about eight months.
- 18 Q. You mean '91?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 19 A. I'm sorry. '91.
- 20 Q. Where did you go after leaving there?
- 21 A. I didn't work until I joined this company.
- 22 I broke my leg, so I had an operation. So in

1 August of 1991 until March of 1992 I wasn't working.

- Q. Did you join Liberty, then, in March of '92?
- A. I think would be somewhere March of '92 or April. I'm not sure of the date, but late March or very early April, because I know I did some interview March. I don't know exactly when the starting date.
 - Q. What was the position you were hired as?
 - A. They had advertising in New York Times that they needed systems engineer, microwave engineer, one or the other, for 18 gigahertz microwave systems, and that's where I applied.

And they told me that the company policy is that they hire me as a consultant as they generally do for a couple of months. And if everything works out, from there I would--if we like each other, I would be hired permanent.

So from what I believe first couple of months somewhere in May, I was hired as a consultant, and I was doing engineering work.

- Q. Who at Liberty if you can recall, hired you as a consultant?
 - A. I interviewed with a man named Joe Stern because he was the person who put the ad in the paper on behalf of Liberty.

He made an arrangement for me to meet

Bruce McKennon, which I guess was vice president of

operations at the time. I don't remember his exact

title. Then I interviewed with him.

And then became an arrangement that I start as a consultant, as I mentioned before.

- Q. Did you know either Mr. Stern or Mr. McKennon prior to interviewing with them?
 - A. No.

- Q. As a consultant, what were your initial responsibilities for the company?
- A. I was told--actually was told by

 Mr. Stern, I was talking that his responsibility

 was prior to my joining the company to get involved

 into building the microwave network, and at that

 point he said just his job was pretty much

 completed, and they need someone to actually

continue from there to operate, continue the
microwave network, working on the microwave network
because the network was built about three or four
months prior to that.

So I was hired to pretty much--I was told to start over, and they continued with what's been on plan, and we decide after two months I was told how we were going to go at that time.

- Q. And how were you going to go at that time?
- A. The question is what happened after the two months?
 - Q. Right.

A. No, no. If you recall on the previous discussion, they said this is going to be two months until we see how we like each other and from there on they will decide that they want to hire me permanently. The reason is I didn't want to work as a consultant. My aim was to be permanent.

So I took that job with the understanding that after the interim period, which they told me generally is a company policy, if I was going to continue with this company, I should be employed as

a permanent.

- Q. I take it after the two months they did hire you permanently?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Did your duties or responsibilities change at all upon being hired permanently?
 - A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Did your duties or responsibilities change at all after you were hired permanently as opposed to what you were doing when you were a consultant?
 - A. Very minimal change.

The only thing was during that interim period, I was working basically with a current consultant, Stern Communications, and I would look at about now, look back on it, it was a transition period. The idea was for me not to do my work, to decide after two months to go with that, understanding that, even with Mr. Stern, understanding that I will probably slowly take over, and my responsibility would be more and more and his firm's responsibility would be less and less, until at some point I would probably take

technically on their own.

- Q. Did you send the PCN to anybody else?
- A. After I reviewed them?
- Q. Yes.
- A. No.

- Q. Anywhere within this process of beginning work on a building, did you have any contact or were you to have any contact with the people at Pepper & Corazzini?
- MR. BEGLEITER: I'm going to object, but go ahead and answer if you can. You can answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes. The time that I start with Pepper & Corazzini was--only talk with Pepper & Corazzini was the only time after I reviewed the Comsearch information that I proceeded to them and they did the search study.

At that point I told--the procedure was that after some time needed to be corrected, either there was a typing mistake or something didn't go to them, and after they completed theirs and I was satisfied with coordination, and probably go with